This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/896,521	06/28/2001	Hani El-Gebaly	10559-493001	8098
7590 09/23/2004			EXAMINER	
Kevin A. Reif c/o BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025			JEAN GILLES, JUDE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		N// A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/896,521	EL-GEBALY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jude J Jean-Gilles	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ywithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) de will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON g date of this communication, even if timely file.	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
,						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		,				
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 June 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2011.	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Solution is required if the drawing(s) is consistent \square	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is responsive to communication filed on 06/28/2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 21: Claim 21 recites the limitation "Computer software, embodied in a computer-readable medium" in lines 1 and 2. The phrase "Computer software, embodied in a computer-readable medium" is confusing as it does not clearly define the meaning of such embodiment. The applicant needs to disclose any information that may explain the meets and bounds of the claim. This information is important to one of ordinary skill in the art to make use of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 21-24, 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 21: Claim 21 recites the phrase "a computer software embodied in a computer-readable medium and/or a propagated carrier signal" in lines 1 and 2, and fails to definitely recite a hardware executing the computer software, rendering the claim as recited only an abstract idea. The claim equates merely to a computer code per se, which does not serve a specific function, nor provide functionality to obtain the recited utility. One skilled in the art will have difficulty visualizing how a computer software can be embodied in a propagated carrier signal. This claim does not fall within one of the five categories of statutory subject matter, namely, new and useful process, machine, manufacture, composition of subject matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof. The claim is directed toward software which is a non-statutory subject matter. See MPEP 2106(IV)(B)(1). Computer programs recited as part of a claim must be claimed as part of an otherwise statutory manufacture or machine. The invention as presently claimed, does not do anything when examined in conjunction with the carrier signal (a computer software embodied in a propagated carrier signal), nor does the claimed invention actually impart any specific functionality to any device, including any assumed computerized equipment in the technological art.

Regarding claim 22-24: Claims 22-24 are also nonstatutory because they depend on claim 21 which is a nonstatutory base claim.

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 25: Claim 25 discloses an "application", rendering the claim as recited only an abstract idea. The claim equates merely to a data structure or computer code per se, which does not serve a specific function, nor provide functionality to obtain the recited utility since no storage medium for the data structure has been specified, e.g., embodied on a computer readable medium. One skilled in the art will have difficulty visualizing how a computer software can be embodied in a propagated carrier signal. This claim does not fall within one of the five categories of statutory subject matter, namely, new and useful process, machine, manufacture, composition of subject matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof. See MPEP 2106(IV)(B)(1). Merely claiming functional descriptive material stored in a computer-readable medium can make a subject matter statutory if functional interrelationship is created, either as part of the stored data, or as part of the computing processes performed by the computer. In addition, such descriptive material alone does not impart functionality either to the data as so structured, or to the computer. See MPEP 2106(IV)(B)(1)(b). The invention as presently claimed, clearly recited an application without being executed by hardware, but the invention as claimed, does not do anything, nor the claimed invention actually impart any specific functionality to any device, including any assumed computerized equipment in the technological art

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 26-30: Claims 26-30 are also nonstatutory because the depend on claim 25 which is a nonstatutory base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in thisOffice action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 10, 12-14, 17-19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Biggs et al (U.S. 5,625,407).

Regarding claim 1: Biggs et al (column) teach a method comprising: establishing a connection between a plurality of endpoints, including at least a requesting endpoint and one or more other participating endpoints;

initiating a connection from the requesting endpoint to at least a third endpoint, the requesting endpoint identifying to the third endpoint the one or more other participating endpoints (column 3, lines 7-12, 21-23, 30-33; column 9, lines 36-43); and

establishing a connection between the third endpoint and the one or more other participating endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint, the third endpoint identifying the requesting endpoint to the one or more other participating endpoints (column 3, lines 7-12, 21-23, 30-33; column 9, lines 36-43).

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 2: Biggs et al (column 3, lines 8-20; column 5, lines 15-20; fig. 6, item 605) teach the method of claim 1 in which the connections between endpoints comprise connections that support unicast streams.

Regarding claim 3: Biggs et al (column 8, lines 52-58; column 3, lines 25-28) teach the method of claim 1 further comprising at each of the endpoints, mixing streams received from each of the other endpoints to form a logical conference.

Regarding claim 4: Biggs et al (column 6, lines 12-14; column 9, lines 36-43) teach the method of claim 1 further comprising, in response to the initiation of the connection from the requesting endpoint, establishing a connection from the third endpoint to the requesting endpoint.

Regarding claim 10: Biggs et al (fig. 2, item 212; column 2, lines 52-55) teach the method of claim 1 in which the initiating and establishing are repeated to form an N-way conference, where N is an integer greater than three.

Regarding claim 12: Biggs et al teach a method of facilitating a multipoint conference among three or more endpoints, the method comprising:

receiving from an requesting endpoint information comprising an invitation to establish a connection with the requesting endpoint and identifying one or more other participating endpoints participating in a conference with the requesting endpoint (column 3, lines 7-12, 21-23, 30-37; column 9, lines 36-43); and

sending to each of the other participating endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint an invitation to establish a connection and information

Art Unit: 2143

identifying the requesting endpoint (column 3, lines 7-12, 21-23, 30-33; column 9, lines 36-43).

Regarding claim 13: Biggs et al (column 3, lines 30-37) teach the method of claim 12 further comprising, in response to receiving an invitation from the requesting endpoint, establishing a connection with the requesting endpoint.

Regarding claim 14: Biggs et al (column 3, lines 29-37; Note that the first endpoint communications link establishment device contacts the multimedia server (MMS), and then inform the MMS of one or more endpoint devices which are or will be connected to the network) teach the method of claim 13 in which establishing the connection with the requesting endpoint is order independent from sending invitations to each of the other participating endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint.

Regarding claim 17: Biggs et al (column 3, lines 24-28) teach the method of claim 12 further comprising, in response to sending invitations to the other participating endpoints, receiving from each of the other participating endpoints information establishing a connection.

Regarding claim 18: Biggs et al (column 8, lines 54-58; column 3, lines 7-20) teach the method of claim 12 further comprising mixing a plurality of unicast streams received from the inviting and other participating endpoints to form a logical conference.

Regarding claim 19: Biggs et al (column 8, lines 52-54; column 3, lines 7-20) teach the method of claim 18 in which the plurality of unicast streams include voice data or video data or both.

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 21: Biggs et al teach a Computer software, embodied in a computer-readable medium and/or a propagated carrier signal, comprising instructions for a computer system to perform the following:

receive from an requesting endpoint information comprising an invitation to establish a connection with the requesting endpoint and identifying one or more other endpoints participating in a conference with the requesting endpoint (column 3, lines 7-12, 21-23, 30-37; column 9, lines 36-43);

establish a connection with the requesting endpoint (column 9, lines 9-16; fig. 1; items 104, 106, 108, 146, 138, 134, 118,);

send to each of the other endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint an invitation to establish a connection and information identifying the requesting endpoint (column 3, lines 7-12, 21-23, 30-33; column 9, lines 36-43).;

receive from each of the other endpoints information establishing a connection (column 3, lines 30-37); and

mix a plurality of unicast streams received from the inviting and other endpoints to form a logical conference (column 8, lines 54-58; column 3, lines 7-20).

Art Unit: 2143

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in thisOffice action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 25-27, and 29-30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Hirni et al (U.S. 6,731,609 B1).

Regarding claim 25: Hirni et al teach an application comprising:

a user interface configured to receive from a user of the application input identifying one or more endpoints to be called to form a conference and to present a plurality of media streams to the user in a format that suggests interrelatedness of the streams (column 4, lines 66-67; column 5, lines 1-8, fig. 12c, items 62, 180; Note that the GUI receives call related information and issue commands to manipulate calls); and

H.323 protocol support for performing the following Internet Protocol (IP) telephony operations:

(i) receive from an requesting endpoint information comprising an invitation to establish a connection with the requesting endpoint and identifying one or more other endpoints participating in a conference with the requesting endpoint (column 1, lines 66-67; column 2, lines 1-3; *Note*

Art Unit: 2143

that in the alerting state, a connection is trying to contact a device as in lines 65-66 of column 5);

- (ii) establish a connection with the requesting endpoint (column 2, lines 3-5);
- (iii) send to each of the other endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint an invitation to establish a connection and information identifying the requesting endpoint (column 6, lines 65-67; *Note that in the connecting state, an associated device is an active call participant, i.e. transmitting multimedia protocol and data packets as in lines 65-67 of column 5*);
- (iv) receive from each of the other endpoints information establishing a connection (column 7, lines 1-3); and
- (v) mix a plurality of unicast streams received from the inviting and other endpoints to form a logical conference (column 13, lines 30-42; fig 12a-c; items 50, 60, 62, step 182. Note that to establish the conference call, the process selects one of the existing calls and to build the conference call around that call. The select call can be active or logical).

Regarding claim 26: Hirni et al teach (column 44, lines 44-59; fig.15, items 14, 32, 54, 396, 390) the application of claim 25 wherein the application comprises a client application configured to be executed on a computer system associated with the user, the client configured to communicate with a remote server application to provide the user with IP telephony functionality.

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 27: Hirni et al (column 15, lines 21-40) teach the application of claim 25 wherein, if two or more of the unicast streams comprise audio information, the user interface is configured to overlay the audio streams to suggest inter-relatedness.

Regarding claim 29: Hirni et al (column 12, lines 54-67) teach the application of claim 25 in which the H.323 protocol support for receiving an invitation from the requesting endpoint comprises support to receive an H.323 setup request message that identifies the one or more other endpoints in a non-standard parameter field.

Regarding claim 30: Hirni et al (column 12, lines 54-67) teach the application of claim 25 in which the H.323 protocol support for sending an invitation to each of the other endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint comprises support to send an H.323 setup request message that identifies the requesting endpoint in a non-standard parameter field.

Art Unit: 2143

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 5-8, 11, 15-16, 20, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Biggs et al (U.S. 5,625,407) in view of Hirni et al (U.S. 6,731,609 B1).

Regarding claim 5: Biggs et al teach the method of claim 1, but fails to disclose a method in which initiating a connection comprises sending an H.323 setup request message that includes an identity of the one or more other participating endpoints.

Hirni et al (column 14, lines 35-46) teach "an interface for performing the call setup of the H.323 calls". Hirni et al further discloses that "the component interface also delivers to the call control the remote extension address, destination address information, or source IP address on call setup".

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use the method to establish a connection to a plurality of endpoints of Biggs et al and incorporate Hirni et al's method to initiate a connection by sending an H.323 setup request to "manage connection setup and breakdown" as stated by Hirni et al in column 14 line 39.

Art Unit: 2143

Hirni et al teach that it is old and well known in the networking art to get the advantage of using a method to initiate a connection by sending an H.323 setup request to enhance network management. An artisan in the networking art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to include the method to initiate a connection to get this advantage in a network environment.

Regarding claim 6: The combination of Biggs et al and Hirni et al teach all the limitations of claim 5. Hirni et al further teach a method in which sending the H.323 setup request message comprises formatting the H.323 setup request message to include the identity of the one or more other participating endpoints in a non-standard parameter field of the H.323 message. Hirni et al (column 40, lines 63-67; fig. 3, item 50, 58) disclose an "H.323 request message through "a call context data delivered transparently in a correlator data field" which identifies the one or more participating endpoints.

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use the method to establish a connection to a plurality of endpoints of Biggs et al and incorporate Hirni et al's method to initiate a connection by sending an H.323 setup request to include the identity of the one or more other participating endpoints in a non-standard parameter field of the H.323 message.

Hirni et al teach that it is old and well known in the networking art to get the advantage of using a method to initiate a connection by sending an H.323 setup request to enhance network traffic and management. An artisan in the networking art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to include

Art Unit: 2143

the method to initiate a connection to get this advantage in a network environment.

Regarding claim 7: Biggs et al teach the method of claim 1 but differs from the current invention in that it does not teach a method in which establishing the connection between the third endpoint and the one or more other participating endpoints comprises sending an H.323 setup request message that includes an identity of the requesting endpoint.

Hirni et al (column 5, lines 40-41) disclose a "that the call context can include the IP address of the caller system and a destination type" within the H.323 setup request.

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use the method to establish a connection to a plurality of endpoints of Biggs et al and incorporate Hirni et al's method to establish a connection by sending an H.323 setup request message with an identity of the requesting endpoint to enhance data traffic in the network.

Hirni et al teach that it is old and well known in the networking art to get the advantage of using a method to initiate a connection by sending an H.323 setup request to enhance network traffic and management. An artisan in the networking art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to include the method to establish a connection to get this advantage in a network environment.

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 8: The combination of Biggs et al and Hirni et al teach all the limitations of the method of claim 7. Hirni et al further disclose a method in which sending the H.323 setup request message comprises formatting the H.323 setup request message to include the identity of the requesting endpoint in a non-standard parameter field of the H.323 message. Hirni et al (column 40, lines 63-67) disclose a "call context data delivered transparently in a correlator data field" within the H.323 setup request.

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use the method to establish a connection to a plurality of endpoints of Biggs et al and incorporate Hirni et al's method to initiate a connection by sending an H.323 setup request to include the identity of the one or more other participating endpoints in a non-standard parameter field of the H.323 message.

Hirni et al teach that it is old and well known in the networking art to get the advantage of using a method to initiate a connection by sending an H.323 setup request to enhance network traffic and management. An artisan in the networking art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to include the method to initiate a connection to get this advantage in a network environment.

Regarding claim 11: Biggs et al teach a method by showing all the limitation of claim 1, but fail to disclose a method in which initiating a connection to a third endpoint is performed in response to input received from a user of an Internet Protocol telephony application.

Hirni et al (column 2, lines 33-40) in the same field of endeavor teach "a software that includes an application program interface, through which a telephony application program controls multimedia telephonic conferences, receives a command from said telephonic application program to control a conference between the caller and agent systems".

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use the method to initiate a connection to a plurality of endpoints by means of Biggs et al and incorporate Hirni et al's method to initiate a connection using IP telephony application to "control multimedia telephonic conferences" as stated by Hirni et al in column 2 line 38.

Hirni et al teach that it is old and well known in the networking art to get the advantage of using a method to initiate a connection by using an IP telephony application program to enhance network communications. An artisan in the networking art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to include the method to initiate a connection to get this advantage in a network environment.

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 15: Biggs et al teach the method of claim 12, but fail to disclose a method in which receiving an invitation from the requesting endpoint comprises receiving an H.323 setup request message that identifies the one or more other participating endpoints in a non-standard parameter field.

Hirni et al (column 40, lines 63-67; fig. 3, item 50, 58) disclose an H.323 request message through "a call context data delivered transparently in a correlator data field" which identifies and receives an invitation from the one or more participating endpoints.

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use the method to facilitating a multipoint conference among three or more endpoints of Biggs et al and incorporate Hirni et al's method to receive an invitation through a H.323 setup request "so that multimedia communication can flow between the endpoints" as stated by Hirni et al in column 9, lines 52 and 53.

Hirni et al teach that it is old and well known in the networking art to get the advantage of using a method to receive an invitation through a H.323 setup request to enhance network communications. An artisan in the networking art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to include the method to receive an invitation to get this advantage in a network environment.

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 16: Biggs et al. teach the method of claim 12, but fail to disclose a method in which sending an invitation to each of the other participating endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint comprises sending an H.323 setup request message that identifies the requesting endpoint in a nonstandard parameter field.

Hirni et al (column 12, lines 52-67; column 40, lines 64-67; fig. 3, item 14, 50, 58) disclose an H.323 request message through "a call context data delivered transparently in a correlator data field" which identifies and sends an invitation to the one or more participating endpoints.

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use the method to facilitating a multipoint conference among three or more endpoints of Biggs et al and incorporate Hirni et al's method to send an invitation through a H.323 setup request "so that multimedia communication can flow between the endpoints" as stated by Hirni et al in column 9, lines 52 and 53.

Hirni et al teach that it is old and well known in the networking art to get the advantage of using a method to receive an invitation through a H.323 setup request to enhance network communications. An artisan in the networking art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to include the method to send an invitation to get this advantage in a network environment.

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 20: Biggs et al teach the method of claim 12, but fail to disclose a method in which the receiving and sending are performed by an Internet Protocol telephony application.

Hirni et al (column 2, lines 33-40) in the same field of endeavor teach "a software that includes an application program interface, through which a telephony application program controls multimedia telephonic conferences, receives a command from said telephonic application program to control a conference between the caller and agent systems".

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use the method to initiate a connection to a plurality of endpoints by means of Biggs et al and incorporate Hirni et al's method of receiving and sending using IP telephony application to "control multimedia telephonic conferences" as stated by Hirni et al in column 2 line 38.

Hirni et al teach that it is old and well known in the networking art to get the advantage of using a method to initiate a connection by using an IP telephony application program to enhance network communications. An artisan in the networking art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to include the method for sending and receiving connection request to get this advantage in a network environment.

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 22: Biggs et al teach the software of claim 21, but fail to disclose a method in which the instructions to cause the computer system to receive an invitation from the requesting endpoint comprise instructions to receive an H.323 setup request message that identifies the one or more other endpoints in a non-standard parameter field.

Hirni et al (column 11, lines 28-43) in the same field of endeavor teach an "Accept Call command instruction to answer a call in response to an Incoming Call event". Hirni et al further disclose (column 40, lines 64-66) a "call context data in a correlator data field" within the H.323 setup request to hold the above mentioned CTI (Computer Telephony Integration) request.

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use the computer software to send, receive and establish connection of Biggs et al and incorporate Hirni et al's computer command instructions to receive to perform a "clear connection process" between a plurality of endpoints.

Hirni et al teach that it is old and well known in the networking art to get the advantage of using computer software instructions to enhance network communications. An artisan in the networking art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to include the method for receiving connection request to get this advantage in a network environment.

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 23: Biggs et al teach the software of claim 21, but fail to disclose a method in which the instructions to cause the computer system to send an invitation to each of the other endpoints identified by the requesting endpoint comprise instructions to send an H.323 setup request message that identifies the requesting endpoint in a non-standard parameter field.

Hirni et al (column 11, lines 28-43) in the same field of endeavor teach "a Place Call command instruction to establish a connection to the specified endpoint". Hirni et al further disclose (column 40, lines 64-66) a "call context data in a correlator data field" within the H.323 setup request to hold the above mentioned CTI (Computer Telephony Integration) request.

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use the computer software to send, receive and establish connection of Biggs et al and incorporate Hirni et al's computer command instructions to send requests to perform a "clear connection process" between a plurality of endpoints.

Hirni et al teach that it is old and well known in the networking art to get the advantage of using computer software instructions to enhance network communications. An artisan in the networking art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to include the method for sending connection request to get this advantage in a network environment.

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 24: Biggs et al teach the software of claim 21, but fail to disclose a method in which the instructions are performed by an Internet Protocol telephony application.

Hirni et al (column 2, lines 9-11) in the same field of endeavor teach "a command instruction to receive from the telephony application program through the application program interface to process the call according to the command".

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use the computer software to send, receive and establish connection of Biggs et al and incorporate Hirni et al's computer command instructions to send requests to perform a "clear connection process" between a plurality of endpoints.

Hirni et al teach that it is old and well known in the networking art to get the advantage of using computer software instructions to enhance network communications. An artisan in the networking art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to include the method for sending connection request to get this advantage in a network environment.

Art Unit: 2143

11. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Biggs et al (U.S. 5,625,407) in view of Levinson (U.S. 5,566,171).

Regarding claim 9: Biggs et al teach the method of claim 1, but differ from the current invention in that they do not disclose a method in which initiating a connection comprises using a Fast Connect procedure.

Levinson (column 10, lines 4-9) teaches "the process for performing a Fast Connect sequence responsive to a state machine command having an op code value".

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use the method to establish a connection to a plurality of endpoints of Biggs et al and incorporate Levinson's method to initiate a connection using a Fast Connect procedure to "load the target endpoint data for connection" as stated by Levinson in column 10 line 11.

Levinson teaches that it is old and well known in the networking art to get the advantage of using a method to initiate a connection with a Fast Connect procedure to improve network connection capabilities. An artisan in the networking art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to include the method to initiate a connection to get this advantage in a network environment.

Art Unit: 2143

12. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Hirni et al (U.S. 6,731,609 B1) in view of Tung et al (U.S. 5,859,979).

Regarding claim 28: Herni et al teach application to with a user interface to receive user input and a H.323 protocol support for performing IP telephony operations, but fail to teach an application wherein, if two or more of the unicast streams comprise video information, the user interface is configured to display the video streams in adjacent display regions to suggest inter-relatedness.

Tung et al (column 32, lines 4-7) teach "the reception and display of remote video signals in the remote video window" of specified stream groups.

It would have been obvious for an ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use the application of Herni et al and incorporate Tung et al's application to display the video streams in adjacent display regions to suggest inter-relatedness.

Tung et al teach that it is old and well known in the networking art to get the advantage of using a an application to display the video streams in adjacent display regions to control remote video streams. An artisan in the networking art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to include the display of video streams through the interface in adjacent display regions to get this advantage in a network environment.

Art Unit: 2143

Page 2 VY

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from examiner should be directed to Jude Jean-Gilles whose telephone number is (703) 305-0269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley, can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3719.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Jude Jean-Gilles

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2143

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

JJG

September 17, 2004